Partners in Practice Learning Review

Threshold for Public Law Outline and Care Applications

|  |
| --- |
| **PART A: Observations of Host Authority Practice** |
| **Host Local Authority:** |  |
| **Peer Review Team Members & LA:** |  |
| **Please detail practice and/or process observed and dates:** |
| **Meeting Type** | **Date of Meeting** | **Meeting Chair/ Role** | **Attendees** |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| **What are the internal decision-making processes for agreement to have a Legal Planning Meeting, entering PLO processes and issuing Care Proceedings?** |
|  |
| **How have risks been addressed prior to and during PLO?** |
|  |
| **What evidence is considered to ensure that the threshold criteria is met?** |
|  |
| **Even if the threshold is met, has there been consideration that entering PLO or issuing care proceedings is the right thing to do?** |
|  |
| **Is consideration of the No Order Principle made explicit in panel/forum decision making?** |
|  |

|  |
| --- |
| **What processes are in place to track and review practice with these families?** |
|  |
| **Strengths** | **Areas for Development/Reflection** |
|  |  |
| **Hypotheses and early observations in relation to practice in good/outstanding authorities.***What conditions are in place in a good authority that prevents families going to court?**What are the culture and practice habits of the LA working with families in proceedings? What has enabled them to become practice habits? What values is this practice based on?* |
|  |